

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. 624 NINTH STREET, NW SUITE 300 WASHINGTON DC 20001-5303

COPY MAILED

DEC 1 8 2007

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Solomon et al.

Application Number: 10/749522

DECISION ON PETITION

Filing Date: 01/02/2004

Attorney Docket Number:

SOLOMON=2B.2

This is a decision on the petition filed on October 15, 2007, under 37 CFR 1.137(b), to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is GRANTED.

The application became abandoned on January 19, 2007, for failure to timely file a proper response to the final Office action mailed on August 18, 2006, which set a three (3) month shortened statutory period for reply. On January 16, 2007, an amendment after final rejection and a two (2) month extension of the time for reply in accordance with 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. The amendment, however, did not place the application in condition for allowance, and an Advisory Action Before the Filing of an

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$  Effective December 1, 1997, the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) now provide that where the delay in reply was unintentional, a petition may be filed to revive an abandoned application or a lapsed patent pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b). A grantable petition filed under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by:

<sup>(1)</sup> the required reply, unless previously filed. In a nonprovisional application abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may be met by the filing of a continuing application. In a nonprovisional utility or plant application filed on or after June 8, 1995, and abandoned for failure to prosecute, the required reply may also be met by the filling of a request for continuing examination in compliance with § 1.114. In an application or patent, abandoned or lapsed for failure to pay the issue fee or any portion thereof, the required reply must be the payment of the issue fee or any outstanding balance thereof. In an application, abandoned for failure to pay the publication fee, the required reply must include payment of the publication fee.

<sup>(2)</sup> the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m);

<sup>(3)</sup> a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional. The Commissioner may required additional information where there is a question whether the delay

<sup>(4)</sup> any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(c)).

Appeal Brief was mailed on October 3, 2007. Notice of Abandonment was mailed on December 13. 2007.

The Notice of Appeal filed October 15, 2007, has been entered and made of record. Accordingly, the two (2)-month period for filing the Appeal Brief, accompanied by the fee required by law, runs from the date of this decision.

This application is being referred to Technology Center Art Unit 1649 for further processing.

Telephone inquiries concerning this matter may be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-3231.

Douglas I. Wood

Senior Petitions Attorney

Office of Petitions